

43. I have also been referred to the emergency report dated 8 May and contained at **38549**. I do not know whether I requested this report because the only way I would know is if my signature was on the original form sent with the blood samples, and this form is not on the file. The report may have been for blood taken during the cardiac arrest. There is no time recorded on the report but there is a handwritten note which states "Creatinine at 180 and CK at 924". This would have been written on when the result was telephoned back which happens in emergencies. The note is not in my handwriting.
44. I think that the report contained at page **38765** dated 9 May 1997 is the official report of the CK 924 result written by hand on the emergency report. It was standard practice for the lab to issue a formal result slip where the result had been telephoned back and written by hand on the emergency report.

Other matters

45. I am told that Mr Patel said the following in his interview for the inquiry "When Robert Hamill was admitted he had a minor head injury and was hypoxic at the scene of the assault. He was initially treated for a minor head injury with hypoxic damage". I would agree that we were managing a man with a head injury but I would probably disagree with the terminology "minor". This is because Mr Hamill was admitted with a GCS of 4 and that indicates to me that his head injury was more severe than minor. Mr Patel may have said minor because the CT scan did not show any evidence of a blood clot or contusion.
46. I have been asked about the venous blood samples referred to by Mr Patel in his statement to the police dated 30 October 1997 contained at page **9210**. I do not think the samples to which he is referring are the blood cultures I requested on 8 May 1997. I actually think they refer to the samples that were taken during the cardiac arrest.