

this at any time.

7. A particular issue which has arisen is whether or not Ms. Jones attended at an out of hours medical surgery, Pendine Park, on the night of 19<sup>th</sup>/20<sup>th</sup> December. She has given a detailed account of what happened that night. As a result of that account a significant police investigation of the relevant matters has been undertaken and Ms. Jones was consulted with by junior counsel, Ms. Christine Smith, Mr. Ivor Morrison, Assistant Director, and police officers.
8. Despite the police investigation no evidence has been forthcoming to corroborate any aspect of Ms. [REDACTED]'s story about the visit to Pendine Park. There were three doctors on duty for the night in question. Statements have been recorded from all three. They have no recollection of Ms. Jones, her partner or the child being there or being seen there. For completeness the following night was also checked by police and the three (different) doctors on duty that night have no recollection of any such attendance. The receptionist on duty on each night have also been contacted and have no recollection of the attendance.
9. The surgery has a system of forwarding to the attending patient's GP the record of the attendance. No such record has been forwarded to the GP.
10. All relevant telephone records have been checked and there is no record of any relevant call between Ms. [REDACTED] and the surgery - although on her version of events there must have been a call to the surgery and one from the surgery.
11. Again for completeness, police have checked the Pendine Park records for the whole month of December to see if there is any relevant attendance recorded. There is none.
12. Ms. [REDACTED] described the doctor who, she says, saw the child as being a male doctor with grey hair. None of the duty doctors fits that description. When asked about this at the consultation she sought to explain away this matter by saying that it was not she who took the child in to see the doctor, but her partner. She says that she waited in the reception area and may have mistakenly thought that the person who called her partner and the child in was the doctor. This is direct conflict with her version of events when spoken to on 9<sup>th</sup> January when she told those who consulted with her that she had been present when the doctor examined the child. She specifically stated that the doctor was old with grey hair.
13. On the Monday 22<sup>nd</sup> she attended the normal GP's surgery, the Strathmore Surgery. For some inexplicable reason, if her version of events is true, she did not tell the doctor that she had been to the Pendine Park surgery over the weekend and, as I have set out above, her GP has never received any notification from Pendine Park that she attended.
14. Unless the whole system of dealing with, and recording visits of, patients at Pendine