

R.U.C.

BOOK No. C7

KAREN KENNEDY

72978

Date	Hours on Duty	Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed or Matters coming under Notice
Sat 13.10.01	ED	off
Sun 14.10.01	RD	off
Mon 15.10.01	0900-1700 0915 1700	Complaints and discipline duties to take part in validation process for promotion to Superintendent at Lymmville
UES 16.10.01		ENTRY OMISSION IN ERROR. ONLY DISCOVERED 30/3
WED 17.10.01	0900-1800	Complaints and Discipline duties.
	1420	Received telephone call from 100 Barendse. Ex-Member (Sgt) P89 called in for interview by myself at 1400 hrs. Explained that my letter referred to tomorrow. He apparently checked his letter and agreed that it was for tomorrow. He then stated would said that tomorrow morning would not be better than tomorrow afternoon. Explained that we were interviewing another ex-member tomorrow morning. Told him that we could come to Barendse now if it sued. We agreed to meet at 3-30pm
	1540-1640 hrs	Met ex-Sergeant P89 in an interview room at Barendse. Chief Inspector Jackson was also present. Introduced ourselves to Mr P89 Thanked him for attending and explained the nature of the investigation i.e. that I had been appointed by the Deputy Chief Constable to conduct a disciplinary investigation regarding police

Date	Hours on Duty	Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed or Matters coming under Notice
------	---------------	---

action at Portadown on 27 April 1997 following the fatal assault on Robert Hamel. The investigation was focusing on alleged inactivity by police re alleged failure to secure the scene at an early stage to allow proper and complete forensic examination.

- alleged failure by police to secure the clothing from two injured persons at an early stage.
- alleged failure by police to secure the arrest of identified suspects at an early stage.
- alleged failure by police to secure the seizure of clothing from identified suspects at an early stage to allow forensic examination.

It was explained to Mr. P89 that a number of interviews regarding this matter had already been conducted with regard to senior police officers. These interviews were conducted by means of questions and answers. It was pointed out to Mr. McLean that statements he had already made were in his possession and those would, if he consented to an interview, be referred to. Other statements and documents were also available and could be referred to if required.

ate

Hours on Duty

Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed
or Matters coming under Notice

It was again explained to Mr P89 that he was no longer a serving police officer and at any stage he could leave the interview room. It was explained that the same interview format was being applied to every person to be interviewed to ensure fairness in an effort to establish the complete events of the night in question.

Mr P89 asked if our enquiry was a disciplinary investigation only. This was confirmed.

Mr P89 indicated that few years on he had concerns that if he related something now that it may be used in a public enquiry at a later date. He was informed that we had no knowledge of a public enquiry going to take place however, same could not be ruled out. We informed him that any statement made or answers given to questions asked could be used in such an enquiry.

Mr P89 again indicated his reluctance to answer any questions or make a statement today, indicating he would like time to rethink the complete matter to ensure any responses given were correct. He indicated that he would like a copy of the questions he would be asked. We told him that this would not be possible but indicated

Date

Hours on Duty

Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed
or Matters coming under Notice

that in notes already
I carried out, a précis of
question was given - We
explained to Mr P89
that he may be asked
subject to his consent to
interview, would include
reason why, if the incident
took place at 1.50am, was
the scene not secured
until 0727hrs on the same
date - Also would include -
- what police action took
place after the scene
had been brought under
control
- what delinquency took
place
- who was appointed to
investigate the matter.

Mr P89 indicated that
he had already made
four statements regarding
this matter - One of these
statements had been to
C & D reference a complaint
made by Mr Harvey. This
complaint related to the
alleged use of an FRG. Mr

P89 indicated that he
did not fire the FRG but
used it to push people up
the street - We indicated
that he would not be happy
to have a formal interview
until he had time to
read all these statements.
We handed to Mr P89
three statements which we
had in our possession along
with a copy of his notebook entry.

Date	Hours on Duty	Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed or Matters coming under Notice
		<p>P89</p> <p>Mr [redacted] indicated that the third statement he made in 2000 was made to clarify points to C.I.O. He indicated that the information for this statement was obtained from Baton reports. These reports were examined and he indicated from them that it was a Mr Hanway who had made the complaint against him. He also indicated that on the night, he had been instructed by Alan McCrum to go to the hospital and establish the condition of both persons (he could not remember at what time was it before or after dealing with an accident). This resulted from the fact that when he arrived at the scene, both persons persons were being put in an ambulance and that he had no right of them to establish their condition. He thinks he went to the hospital with A/Capt Sillcock. He outlined how he was verbally abused by male persons whom he believed to be friends of the late Robert Hamill. No assault or verbal abuse took place. At this point the 1's were being treated so he fast at West not to get involved and left. At first he indicated that he did not talk to medical staff but then said he might have spoken to someone</p>

Date

Hours on Duty

Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed
or Matters coming under Notice

but that Mr Hamill's condition at that time was not known. He was not sure when in fact he found out about the condition of Mr Hamill. He indicated that he dealt with an accident and a policeman for D.C. He indicated from his notebook that he must have finished this about 4:30 AM. He could not give an account of his duty after 4:30 AM. He indicated that he would have to think why his notebook was out of sequence but indicated that there must be some reason. When asked if a formal debriefing took place, he indicated he could recall a C.O. man - Don Kemp, Alan McCrum and the four members of the landrover crew in the control room. He could not recall the complete conversation. He had some recollection about the scene - a street cleaning lorry. He was not sure who mentioned this - it may have been himself - it may have been Insp. McCrum. He does recall a landrover being sent to the scene but again can't be sure when and who directed this. It may have been said by Alan McCrum at the scene but he couldn't be sure. He was asked if he intended to make a written 72024

Date

Hours on Duty

Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed
or Matters coming under Notice

statement but indicated not at
this time as he wished to
be sure of all his facts. Again
indicated he would be unhappy
to do so until he had sight
of the statement he made for
the complaint file. He
indicated that he did not
want to be seen as unhelpful
but he needed to think
about the matter and
maybe get advice. It was
explained to Mr McLean
that the matter we were
talking about was one when
he was a serving police
officer and that he was entitled,
if he so wished, to seek legal
advice. It was also explained
that all other persons
interviewed and attended
with a legal adviser from
Edwards & Co via the Federation.
Mr P89 indicated that
he would think the matter
over and would contact us
if he wished to make a
statement or complete a question
and answer interview. He asked
for sight of his statement made
regarding the complaint -
Harvey prior to any interview.
This was to be obtained and
forwarded in due course. He
was asked if he had
any recollection of the arrest
of Wayne Hunt. He had
no recollection.

The interview terminated with
Mr P89 indicating that he
did not wish to be seen as

Date	Hours on Duty	Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed or Matters coming under Notice
		<p>not co-operative but he wanted to be sure that anything he said or had to recall was accurate. He indicated that he had no difficulty with the matter but in view of events and what had been in the news / in the papers, he felt someone was looking for a scapegoat. Therefore if a public enquiry was to take place he wished to ensure that any recollection he gave was complete. Again we indicated that we could not say if an enquiry would or would not take place. We again suggested that via the Police Federation / Solicitor he may wish to take advice on this matter.</p> <p>1630 Interview terminated 1800 duty terminated</p>
Texas 18/10/01	0900 - 1700 1050 - 1130	<p>Complaints and discipline duties present in Enquiry Office, Bandridge to await the arrival of Denise [redacted] for interview regarding the Hamel investigation. In a previous telephone call to nurse, Mrs [redacted] had appeared very apprehensive about appearing at any interview. She also alluded to the fact that she was feeling stressed as a result of the request and that her health might not</p>

Date	Hours on Duty	Details of Supervision or Other Duties performed or Matters coming under Notice
		<p>allow it. It was left that the member was to contact me and let me know whether or not she would be attending. The member had not done so and in the absence of any such contact, Chief Inspector Jackson and Murphy attended at Baulnage as previously arranged, in case Mrs Wright did in fact turn up - she did not.</p> <p>Mileage - Lisnashanagh - Baulnage - Lisnashanagh - 5.3 miles</p>
10/10/01	0900-1700	Complaints and discipline duties
10/10/01	on	off
10/10/01	on	off
11/10/01	0900-1700	Complaints and discipline duties
31/10/01	0900 - 1700 1100-1300 1700	<p>Complaints and discipline duties</p> <p>interviewed Supt Caldwell at Nylon Road</p> <p>Terminated duty</p> <p>Mileage - 7.0 miles</p>
24/10/01	0900-1700 1000 1700	<p>Complaints and discipline duties</p> <p>Ballymena appeal court</p> <p>Terminated duty</p> <p>Mileage - 13.5 miles</p>
25/10/01	0900 - 1700	Complaints and discipline duties
01/10/01	0900 - 1700	<p>Complaints and discipline duties</p> <p>gave evidence at a Misconduct Hearing against Chief Inspector [redacted], P1 Dept.</p>