



Your Ref: RM.JC.5614

Our Ref: 2209/97

Date: 9 October 1998

Dear Sir

BELFAST CROWN COURT
R -v- MARC HOBSON
BILL NO: 122/98

I refer to your letters dated 2 October 1998. Please find enclosed by way of disclosure Photograph Albums S525/97 and S522/97.


D/Sgt Bradley has undertaken to deliver, by way of disclosure, a copy of Mr Prunty's statement this afternoon.

I have requested copies of all notes etc. from the Post Mortem to be forwarded to this office, including hospital notes and CT scans which are held by the Crown. I will forward these to you when received.

In respect of your requirement for documents concerning the initial reasons for the arrest of your client I can confirm that two persons called Witnesses A and B, made statements identifying a number of people allegedly involved in the incident. A total of six were arrested and charged. In a consultation with Crown Counsel these witnesses indicated to Senior Crown Counsel that they were not prepared to give evidence in court and the Crown does not intend to rely on this evidence at the trial of your client. The parts of the statements relevant to your client were put to your client in interview and your client was legally represented at all such times. The Crown consider that therefore the relevant passages of the statements have been disclosed to you. The Crown considers that the remaining portions of these statements are not relevant to an issue at the forthcoming trial and that therefore no duty of disclosure attaches thereto. Neither witness has made a statement or any other document concerning their refusal to give evidence and it is considered that Counsel's notes attract legal privilege and therefore no duty of disclosure exists.

Police inform this office that they hold no further documents in respect of items mentioned at paragraph 4 of your letter dated 12 August 1998.

Yours faithfully



for Assistant Director
Belfast Crown Court

SB/MS

31526