

11/11/97

9:45 am

C. Vear.

R. Dawson.

RAK.

Bridgett

- Fingerprint Evidence (Blood) was

considered. This was compared to 1 ~~set~~ spot of blood the size of a 1 ~~100~~ pence coin on ~~left~~ right jean leg of deceased. Another spot of blood was close to

this spot. ^{Not analysed} Mr Dawson has previously consulted

with Lawrence Marshall. Full attached of his consultation.

Less considered evidence not sufficient to sustain a presentation of Bridgett. Most that could be proved was

that at some stage he was sufficient by close to the body of the Hamill that ^{his} blood ~~drifted~~ dropped directly onto Hamill. ~~is~~ No other evidence to show

what he had done.

Affray - Could not be proved

what he was doing.

his during interview - not sufficient to incriminate Bridgett

Leut: Evidence again reviewed.

Mr. [Name] finds of the opinion that the individual
by [Name] of the photograph of [Name] is [Name] the
person in the [Name]'s scarf assault [Name]
(confirming his video identification) effectively [Name]
There could be no reasonable [Name] of a connection of
Leut.

Adm: Pathologist report considered. Mr. [Name] to
advise on charge.

RAH
ca/a/a7