


\* That would have been my  
invariable procedure

- 6. The file note that I prepared would have ~~been a full note of the meeting for the attention of Mr Junkin. It would have~~ contained all matters that I considered relevant. As I have no recollection of the meeting I do not recall whether the police gave me any papers. However, I would be very surprised if I had been given any papers by police on this day that I <sup>would</sup> ~~did~~ not include <sup>that</sup> in the file note. If I had received witness statements I feel certain I would have <sup>reported</sup> ~~reported~~ it. <sub>Noted</sub>
- 7. I have been told that there was a complaint made against police officers at the time. I cannot recall whether I was told about a complaint at this meeting. In the file note I stated that there had been allegations that police did not act quickly enough in relation to the incident but that is as much as I know.
- 8. If I had been told that the ICPC were supervising a complaint against a police officer at the scene I imagine that I would have put that in the file note. Additionally if I had been told that there was an allegation that a reserve constable had tipped off a suspect to dispose of his clothing I cannot imagine any circumstance in which I would not have included that in the file note. I would have considered these to be relevant matters and I would have included all relevant matters I was told ~~about~~ in the note.
- 9. The file note would have been passed to Mr Junkin. I cannot recall if we had any discussions about it. My recollection of the time is that one Senior Assistant Director dealt with scheduled crime and the other dealt with non-scheduled crime. This would have been a scheduled matter.
- 10. I would not have entered anything like this into a desk diary.

SIGNED:  .....

DANIEL MAGILL

DATED: 9.10.09 .....

